PE			Application No.	A	pplicant(s)	
	. .		10/059,252	0	REAR ET AL.	
U 5 5003	Office Action Summary		Examiner	A	rt Unit	
U E Co	92		Walter D. Griffin	1	764	
2	The MAILING DATE of this communic	ation app		with the corr	respondence ac	dress
Resignation	r Reply					
THE I - Externance - If the - If NO - Failu - Any I	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FO MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNIC sions of time may be available under the provisions of SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) period for reply is specified above, the maximum stature to reply within the set or extended period for reply within the set or extended period	ATION. 37 CFR 1.13 nication. days, a reply tory period w ill, by statute,	66(a). In no event, however, may within the statutory minimum of fill apply and will expire SIX (6) M cause the application to become	a reply be timely thirty (30) days will ONTHS from the ABANDONED (3	filed Il be considered time mailing date of this o 35 U.S.C. § 133).	ly. communication.
1) 🖾	Responsive to communication(s) file	d on <i>25 J</i>	une 2003			
2a)⊠			is action is non-final.			
3)	Since this application is in condition	<i>,</i>		natters pros	ecution as to t	ne merits is
	closed in accordance with the practic on of Claims					
4)⊠	Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the ap	oplication				
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are	withdrav	vn from consideration.			
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.	•			•	
6)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> is/are rejected.					
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8)	Claim(s) are subject to restricti	on and/o	r election requirement.			
Applicati	on Papers					
9) 🗌 🤈	The specification is objected to by the	Examine	r.			
10)[The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a	a) 🗌 accep	oted or b) objected to b	y the Examir	ner.	
	Applicant may not request that any object		- , ,	•	, ,	
11)[The proposed drawing correction filed	on	_is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐] disapprove	d by the Examin	ner.
	If approved, corrected drawings are requ	uired in rep	oly to this Office action.			
12)	The oath or declaration is objected to t	by the Ex	aminer.			
Priority ι	ınder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120					•
13)[]	Acknowledgment is made of a claim f	or foreigr	priority under 35 U.S.	C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).	
a)	☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:					
	1. Certified copies of the priority d	ocument	s have been received.			
	2. Certified copies of the priority d	ocument	s have been received ir	Application	No	
* 5	3. Copies of the certified copies of application from the Interna See the attached detailed Office action	tional Bu	reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a))).	in this Nationa	l Stage
14) [] <i>A</i>	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for	r domesti	c priority under 35 U.S.	C. § 119(e) (to a provisiona	al application)
а) ☐ The translation of the foreign lang Acknowledgment is made of a claim fo	juage pro	visional application has	s been receiv	ved.	
Attachmen	· ·			-		
1)	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PT mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Pa		5) 🔲 Notice	• •	TO-413) Paper No	

Art Unit: 1764

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Amendment

The objection to the disclosure and the rejections under 35 USC 103 as described in paper no. 5 have been withdrawn in view of the amendment filed on June 25, 2003. The rejection under 35 USC 103 is withdrawn because there is no motivation to combine the Garwood and Grahame references.

Double Patenting

The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ormum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

Claims 1-20 are provisionally rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-16 of copending Application No. 10/059388 in view of Grahame (US (US 2,452,121).

Claims 1-16 are drawn to a process in which olefins are combined with isobutane and the mixture is subjected to alkylation. The claims do not include the dehydration/isomerization step.

Application/Control Number: 10/059,252

Art Unit: 1764

ŧ

The Grahame reference discloses a process for manufacturing fuels from synthetic hydrocarbons. The process comprises reacting carbon monoxide and hydrogen in the presence of a catalyst (i.e., alumina catalyst) thereby producing a mixture of hydrocarbons. At least a fraction of the mixture of hydrocarbons contacts a catalyst at conditions that result in the conversion of oxygenated compounds (e.g., alcohols) present in the hydrocarbon fraction to olefins. Conditions include temperatures ranging from 700° to 900°F, pressures ranging from atmospheric up to about 25 psi, and space velocities ranging from 1 to 10. Additionally, the olefins present in the fraction are isomerized. The C₃ and C₄ olefins present in the resulting converted fraction may be further treated to produce naphtha. See col. 1, lines 1-48; col. 2, lines 15-29, 54, and 55; col. 3, lines 1-13 and 56-75; col. 4, lines 1-67; col. 5, lines 14-58; and col. 6, lines 21-32 and 59-64.

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have modified claims 1-16 by including the dehydration/isomerization step as suggested by Grahame because undesirable oxygenates will be removed as well as more of the desired olefins will be produced. More of the desired olefins in the process of Garwood will result in the production of more of the desired product

This is a <u>provisional</u> obviousness-type double patenting rejection.

Conclusion

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO

Art Unit: 1764

MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Walter D. Griffin whose telephone number is 703-305-3774. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 6:30 to 4:00 with alternate Fridays off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Glenn Caldarola can be reached on 703-308-6824. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-872-9310 for regular communications and 703-872-9311 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0651.

Walter D. Griffin Primary Examiner Art Unit 1764

Welt D. Deff

WG

August 12, 2003

REVISED AMENDMENT PRACTICE: 37 CFR 1.121 CHANGED COMPLIANCE IS MANDATORY - Effective Date: July 30, 2003

All amenaments filed on or after the effective date noted above must comply with revised 37 CFR 1.121. See Final Rule; Changes To Implement Electronic Maintenance of Official Patent Application Records (68 Fed. Reg. 38611 (June 30, 2003), posted on the Office's website at: http://www.uspto.gov/web/patents/ifw/ with related information. The amendment practice set forth in revised 37 CFR 1.121, and described below, replaces the voluntary revised amendment format available to applicants since February 2003. NOTE: STRICT COMPLIANCE WITH THE REVISED 37 CFR 1.121 IS REQUIRED AS OF THE EFFECTIVE DATE (July 30, 2003). The Office will notify applicants of amendments that are not accepted because they do not comply with revised 37 CFR 1.121 via a Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment. See MPEP 714.03 (Rev. 1, Feb. 2003). The non-compliant section(s) will have to be corrected and the entire corrected section(s) resubmitted within a set period.

Bold underlined italic font has been used below to highlight the major differences between the revised 37 CFR

1.121 and the voluntary revised amendment format that applicants could use since February, 2003.

Note: The amendment practice for reissues and reexamination proceedings, except for drawings, has not changed.

REVISED AMENDMENT PRACTICE

I. Begin each section of an amendment document on a separate sheet:

Each section of an amendment document (e.g., Specification Amendments, Claim Amendments, Drawing Amendments, and Remarks) must begin on a separate sheet. Starting each separate section on a new page will facilitate the process of separately indexing and scanning each section of an amendment document for placement in an image file wrapper.

II. Two versions of amended part(s) no longer required:

37 CFR 1.121 has been revised to <u>no longer require</u> two versions (a clean version and a marked up version) of each replacement paragraph or section, or amended claim. Note, however, the requirements for a clean version and a marked up version for <u>substitute specifications</u> under 37 CFR 1.125 have been retained.

A) Amendments to the claims:

Each amendment document that includes a change to an existing claim, cancellation of a claim or submission of a new claim, must include a complete listing of all claims in the application. After each claim number in the listing, the status must be indicated in a parenthetical expression, and the text of each pending claim (with markings to show current changes) must be presented. The claims in the listing will replace all prior claims in the application.

- (1) The current status of all of the claims in the application, including any previously canceled, not entered or withdrawn claims, must be given in a parenthetical expression following the claim number using only one of the following seven status identifiers: (original), (currently amended), (canceled), (withdrawn), (new), (previously presented) and (not entered). The text of all pending claims, including withdrawn claims, must be submitted each time any claim is amended. Canceled and not entered claims must be indicated by only the claim number and status, without presenting the text of the claims.
- (2) The text of all claims being currently amended must be presented in the claim listing with markings to indicate the changes that have been made relative to the immediate prior version. The changes in any amended claim must be shown by underlining (for added matter) or strikethrough (for deleted matter) with 2 exceptions: (1)
 for deletion of five characters or fewer, double brackets may be used (e.g., [feroor]]); and (2) if strikethrough cannot be easily perceived (e.g., deletion of the number "4" or certain punctuation marks), double brackets must be used (e.g., [f4]]). As an alternative to using double brackets, however, extra portions of text may be included before and after text being deleted, all in strikethrough, followed by including and underlining the extra text with the desired change (e.g., number 4 as number 14 as). An accompanying clean version is not required and should not be presented. Only claims of the status "currently amended," and "withdrawn" that are being amended, may include markings.
- (3) The text of pending claims not being currently amended, *including withdrawn claims*, must be presented in the claim listing in clean version, *i.e.*, without any markings. Any claim text presented in clean version will constitute an assertion that it has not been changed relative to the immediate prior version except to omit markings that may have been present in the immediate prior version of the claims.

- (4) A claim being canceled must be listed in the claim listing with the status identifier "canceled"; the text of the claim must not be presented. Providing an instruction to cancel is optional.
- (5) Any claims added by amendment must be presented in the claim listing with the status identifier "(new)"; the text of the claim must not be underlined.
- (6) All of the claims in the claim listing must be presented in ascending numerical order. Consecutive canceled, or not entered, claims may be aggregated into one statement (e.g., Claims 1 5 (canceled)).

Example of listing of claims (use of the word "claim" before the claim number is optional):

Claims 1-5 (canceled)

Claim 6 (previously presented): A bucket with a handle.

Claim 7 (withdrawn): A handle comprising an elongated wire.

Claim 8 (withdrawn): The handle of claim 7 further comprising a plastic grip.

Claim 9 (currently amended): A bucket with a green blue handle.

Claim 10 (original): The bucket of claim 9 wherein the handle is made of wood.

Claim 11 (canceled)

Claim 12 (not entered)

Claim 13 (new): A bucket with plastic sides and bottom.

B) Amendments to the specification:

Amendments to the specification, including the abstract, must be made by presenting a replacement paragraph or section or abstract marked up to show changes made relative to the immediate prior version. An accompanying clean version is not required and should not be presented. Newly added paragraphs or sections, including a new abstract (instead of a replacement abstract), must not be underlined. A replacement or new abstract must be submitted on a separate sheet, 37 CFR 1.72. If a substitute specification is being submitted to incorporate extensive amendments, both a clean version (which will be entered) and a marked up version must be submitted as per 37 CFR 1.125.

The changes in any replacement paragraph or section, or substitute specification must be shown by underlining (for added matter) or strikethrough (for deleted matter) with 2 exceptions: (1) for <u>deletion of five characters or fewer</u>, <u>double brackets may be used (e.g., [[eroor]])</u>; and (2) if strikethrough cannot be easily perceived (e.g., deletion of the number "4" or certain punctuation marks), double brackets must be used (e.g., [[4]]). As an alternative to using double brackets, however, extra portions of text may be included before and after text being deleted, all in strikethrough, followed by including and underlining the extra text with the desired change (e.g., number 4 as number 14 as)

C) Amendments to drawing figures:

Drawing changes must be made by presenting replacement figures which incorporate the desired changes and which comply with 37 CFR 1.84. An explanation of the changes made must be presented either in the drawing amendments, or remarks, section of the amendment, and may be accompanied by a marked-up copy of one or more of the figures being amended, with annotations. Any replacement drawing sheet must be identified in the top margin as "Replacement Sheet" and include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even though only one figure may be amended. Any marked-up (annotated) copy showing changes must be labeled "Annotated Marked-up Drawings" and accompany the replacement sheet in the amendment (e.g., as an appendix). The figure or figure number of the amended drawing(s) must not be labeled as "amended." If the changes to the drawing figure(s) are not accepted by the examiner, applicant will be notified of any required corrective action in the next Office action. No further drawing submission will be required, unless applicant is notified.

Questions regarding the submission of amendments pursuant to the revised practice set forth in this flyer should be directed to: Elizabeth Dougherty or Gena Jones, Legal Advisors, or Joe Narcavage, Senior Special Projects Examiner, Office of Patent Legal Administration, by e-mail to patentpractice@uspto.gov or by phone at (703) 305-1616.